

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,683	03/25/2004	Jeroen Siebrand Wellen	Wellen 6 6204		
	7590 06/25/2007 & SHERIDAN, LLP/	EXAMINER			
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			KIM, DAVID S		
SHREWSBUR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2613		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/808,683	WELLEN, JEROEN SIEBRAND		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David S. Kim ⁻	2613		

Advisory Action	10/000,003	WEELEN, JEROEN	OILDIVAIVD				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David S. Kim	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in Iliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	I16 and 41.33(a)).	-					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	·):		, , ,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none.</u>							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
War.		•					
KENNETH VANDERPUYE							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's proposed amendment introduces limitations absent from the previous version of the claims. In doing so, the proposed amendment raises new issues related to a change in the scope of the claims. A proper and sufficient response to these new issues would require further consideration and/or search.

Notice that Applicant changed all the instances of "link" to "path" in the claims. Then, Applicant presented an argument based on the usage of the term "path" (Remarks, p. 8, middle paragraph). Applicant's argument implies a connotation of the term "path" that suggests the end-to-end connecting route between a central office and a customer premise. However, such a connotation is not included in the scope of the present term "link" in the claims. The term "link" does not carry the connotation of such an end-to-end path between a central office and a customer premise. Rather, the term "link" carries the simpler connotation of any connecting route between any two points. Accordingly, under this reading of the term "path", Applicant's amendment introduces limitations absent from the previous version of the claims.

Furthermore, even if Applicant's amendment were entered (to change all the instances of "link" to "path"), the term "path" by itself does not necessarily imply the suggested end-to-end connecting route between a central office and a customer premise. Rather, the term "path" may also carry the more general connotation of any connecting route between any two points. If Applicant desires employ the connotation of the term "path" wherein the "path" is the end-to-end connecting route between the central office and the customer premises, e.g., wherein one end of the path is the central office and the other end of the path is customer premises, then Applicant is encouraged to include claim language that expressly states so.